



*Indy Parks*



*Playgrounds*



# 2001 Clayton & LaSalle Park Master Plan




*Bart Peterson, Mayor*



*Basketball*



*Soccer*



## 2001 Clayton & LaSalle Park Master Plan

---

<b>Mayor</b>	Bart Peterson			
<b>City-County Council</b>	John Bainbridge	8	Harvey Knox	17
	Elwood Black	6	Lance Langsford	13
	Dr. Philip Borst	25	Robert Massie*	20
	Rozelle Boyd	11	Lynn McWhirter*	18
	James Bradford	7	Mary Moriarty Adams	15
	Maggie Brents*	16	Jackie Nytes	22
	Bob Cockrum*	19	Joanne Sanders	AL
	Lonnell Conley	AL	Scott Schneider	3
	Curtis Coonrod	5	Dr. Beurt Servaas	2
	Beulah Coughenour	24	Frank T. Short	21
	William Douglas*	10	David Smith	23
	William Dowden	4	Bill Soards*	1
	Ron Gibson	AL	Steve Talley	14
	Monroe Gray, Jr.*	9	Jody Tilford*	12
	Karen Celestino Horseman	AL		

\* Members of Parks and Recreation Committee

### **Metropolitan Development** *Metropolitan Development Commission*

Mr. Harold Anderson	Mr. Ed Treacy
Mr. Randolph Snyder	Mr. Robert Smith
Mr. James J. Curtis, Sr.	Mr. Gene Hendricks
Mr. Lee Marbles	Ms. Sylvia Trotter
Mr. Lance Bundles	

#### *Department of Metropolitan Development*

Maury Plambeck, Director

### **Parks & Recreation** *Board of Parks and Recreation*

Joseph Wynns	Bill Stinson
Diana Wilson Hall	Alan. C. Wiseman
Sheriee Shanklin	

#### *Department of Parks and Recreation*

Joseph Wynns, Director

#### *Project Coordination*

Donald A. Colvin Jr., Principal Park Planner  
 Michael Krossschell, Senior Park Planner  
 Nadia Semkiu, Senior Park Planner  
 Catherine Hurst, Park Planning Intern  
 Leslie Clark, Recreation Supervisor  
 Ellery Manuel, Neighborhood Parks Manager  
 Sheryl Richardson, Neighborhood Parks Coordinator  
 Gwen Marks-Stephenson, Christian Park Manager

---

Table of Contents


Introduction	1
History	1
Service Area	2
Existing Conditions	3
Demographics	6
Public Participation	8
Master Plan Recommendations	9
Action Plan	12
Conclusion	12
Meeting 1 Minutes	Appendix I
Meeting 2 Minutes	Appendix II
Funding Opportunities	Appendix III
Parks Board Approval	Appendix IV
Metropolitan Development Commission Approval	Appendix V



## Introduction

Located at 401 S. LaSalle Street, west of Christian Park, Clayton and LaSalle Park is designated as a neighborhood park. According to 1999 Indianapolis- Marion County Park, Recreation and Open Space Plan, Pathways to the Future, Neighborhood Parks are designed to serve the needs of the immediate neighbors within a ¼ to ½ mile radius. Neighborhood Parks should be developed for both active and passive recreation activities, and for a wide variety of age and user groups including children, adults, senior citizens, and special populations. These parks should be within walking distance of their primary user group, and provide only enough parking spaces as necessary to match facilities offered. Neighborhood Parks are the backbone of the city parks system and should serve as the recreational and social focus of the neighborhood.

Clayton & LaSalle Park is easily accessed by residents from the West. There is a network of sidewalks in this neighborhood and no barriers to pedestrian travel. This park holds the potential to serve hundreds of residents with fundamental recreational opportunities.




Location Map

## History

Clayton and LaSalle Park, just north of the intersection of Southeastern Avenue and English Avenue, was established in 1972. The land originally totaling 8.027 acres, was purchased from the Cledor Corporation for \$40,012. In 1981 the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) sold 1.04 acres to Richard Chastain, and .036 acres to Titan Industries. In 1985, DPR again sold a portion totaling 2.51 acres to the George F. Cram Company, the neighbor to the north. The three sales brought the park to today's total of 3.78 acres.

### Service Area

The park's service area is bounded by railroad tracks on the east, which separate the Clayton and LaSalle neighborhood from the residents east of the park. Also forming prominent barriers for the service area are Rural Street, English Avenue and the railroad tracks to the north. The entire service area is within a 1/2 mile of the park, with the majority of the residents being within a 1/4 mile of the park. This area falls within the boundaries of both the Southeast Community Organization (SECO) and the Southeast Neighborhood Organization (SEND).



Service Area



## Existing Conditions

The park presently totals 3.78 acres and contains two football goals located at the north and south ends of the field. The shade trees, 5 Norway Maples, 5 Sweet Gum, and 5 Green Ash, located along LaSalle Street were planted in the fall of 2000 by Keep Indianapolis Beautiful. There is a park identification sign near the intersection of Clayton & LaSalle Streets. Other than a few trees along the east fence line, the rest of the park is a mown grassy field.

Along the north border of the park a gravel drive connects LaSalle Street to Titan Industries. The gravel drive is owned by Titan Industries, and is used by Titan for deliveries and shipping purposes. Just a few hundred feet south of the park is the Pleasant Run Greenway, linking Clayton & LaSalle Park to Christian, Garfield and Ellenberger Parks.



Football Goals (looking south)



Keep Indianapolis Beautiful Plantings



Gravel Drive Looking West



Located to the north of the Titan Industries driveway is the George F. Cram Company. The Cram Company is a prominent manufacturer of globes and maps for distribution throughout the country. Located to the east is Titan Industries, which extends to the eastern fence line. The fence line along the eastern boundary is currently grown over with brush. Neighbors complain about this area being a draw for unwanted activity and that it should be cleared out. To the south of the park is a vacant light industrial building fronting on English Avenue.



George F. Cram Company




Titan Industries




Eastern Fence Line





CLAYTON & LASALLE  
PARK  
JULY 5, 2001

EXISTING CONDITIONS



## Demographics

For statistical purposes, Clayton and LaSalle Park's service area was determined to include one 1990 census tract, 3556.00. Due to the limitations of census tract information, this area includes radius varying from .35 to .85 miles from the park.

1990 Census Data		3556.00	Center Twp.	MSA	3556.00	Center Twp.	MSA
Race	Total	2,462	182,140	1,249,822	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	White	2,300	105,442	1,061,822	93.4%	57.9%	84.6%
	Black	53	74,369	171,545	2.2%	40.8%	13.7%
	Amer. Indian	35	610	2,695	1.4%	0.3%	0.2%
	Asian Pac Is.	29	781	10,001	1.2%	0.4%	0.7%
	Other	45	938	3,759	1.8%	0.5%	0.3%
Age	Total	2,462	182,140	1,249,822	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Under Age 5	251	15,697	96,155	10.2%	8.6%	7.7%
	Age 5-19	387	39,638	267,450	15.7%	21.8%	21.4%
	Age 20-59	1,336	95,243	696,582	54.3%	52.3%	55.7%
	Age 60-84	464	29,192	175,854	18.8%	16.0%	14.1%
	Age 85 and Above	24	2,370	13,781	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%
Gender	Total	2,462	182,140	1,249,822	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Males	1,205	86,818	600,385	48.9%	47.7%	48.0%
	Females	1,257	95,322	649,437	51.1%	52.3%	52.0%
	Single Males	251	23,543	123,932	10.2%	12.9%	9.9%
	Single Females	205	21,478	113,237	8.3%	11.8%	9.1%
Marital Status	Total	2,462	182,140	1,249,822	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Married Males	486	28,393	276,069	19.7%	15.5%	22.1%
	Married Females	504	28,596	276,589	20.4%	15.7%	22.1%
	Divorced Males	131	9,298	41,729	5.3%	5.1%	3.4%
	Divorced Females	143	11,749	61,118	5.8%	6.4%	4.9%
Housing Units	Total	1,099	81,667	517,893	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Occupied	1,003	70,266	480,010	91.3%	86.0%	92.7%
	Vacant	96	11,401	37,883	8.7%	13.9%	7.3%
	Owner Occupied	586	34,900	306,292	53.3%	46.4%	59.1%
	Renter Occupied	417	35,366	173,718	37.9%	43.3%	33.5%
Income	Median Family Income	\$22,941.00	\$21,831.00	\$ 37,590.00	\$22,941.00	\$21,831.00	\$ 37,590.00
	% Below Poverty Level	25.95%	26.31%	5.55%	25.95%	26.31%	5.55%
Households	Persons per HH	2.3	2.54	2.42			
	Total HH	1,072	70,341	480,406			
	Single Parents	110	9,738	39,473	10.26%	14.10%	5.33%
	% of HH with Single Parents	10.26%	14.10%	5.33%			
Education Completed	Total	2,462	182,140	1,249,822	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	0-11 Years	750	48,030	170,905	30.4%	26.4%	13.7%
	High School	574	35,036	266,277	23.3%	19.2%	21.3%
	1-3 Years College	254	20,363	192,600	10.3%	11.2%	15.4%
	Bachelor's Degree+	17	9,037	168,451	0.6%	5.0%	13.5%

From the 2000 census, only partial information is available at time of printing. Below are the results for census tract 3556.00. The 2000 race demographic data provides the following information.

<b>2000 Census Data</b>		3556	Center Twp.	MSA	3556	Center Twp.	MSA
<b>Race</b>	Total	2,325	164,324	1,607,486	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	White	1,973	89,912	1,319,008	84.90%	54.70%	82.10%
	Black	143	68,133	223,974	6.20%	41.50%	13.90%
	Hispanic	279	8,462	42,994	12.00%	5.10%	2.70%
	Amer. Indian	11	620	3,712	0.40%	0.30%	0.20%
	Asian Pac. Is.	9	1,141	20,290	0.30%	0.70%	1.30%
	Other	147	4,518	20,355	6.30%	2.70%	1.30%
<b>Age</b>	Total	2,325			100.00%		
	Under Age 5	195			8.40%		
	Age 5-19	442			19.00%		
	Age 20-59	1,314			56.50%		
	Age 60-84	341			14.70%		
	Age 85 and Above	33			1.40%		
<b>Housing Units</b>	Total	1,069			100.00%		
	Occupied	950			88.90%		
	Vacant	119			11.10%		
	Owner Occupied	540			56.80%		
	Renter Occupied	410			43.20%		

The 1990 census results reveal that this area has a small percentage of college educated residents and large percentage of residents below the poverty line. It is also a neighborhood with a larger than average percentage of elderly residents and single parent households. All of these conditions point to the importance of the Parks Department developing low cost and accessible recreation opportunities in this neighborhood.

By comparing the 1990 and 2000 Census Race data, it can be determined that the total population within the Clayton and LaSalle service area has declined. While the total population is declining the minority population within the service area has increased. This minority increase reveals that nearly 12% of the service area population is Hispanic, which is a dramatically larger percentage than the township and Metropolitan Statistical Area totals. Changes such as this emphasize the importance of remaining connected to the public and of adjusting plan recommendations over time. As populations change, the recreational needs of a neighborhood will change as well.

## Public Participation

For a Master Plan to successfully address a community's needs, public input is of great importance. To solicit this input, DPR held two public meetings to gather information and insight from the neighborhood residents and community leaders. These meetings were held nearby at IPS Elementary School #21.

At the first meeting, intended as an information gathering meeting, the approximately 25 people in attendance were asked to list both what they like and what they dislike about the park. Another list was then compiled of improvements the neighbors would like to see within the park. These lists are printed in their entirety in Appendix I.

Several voiced their desire to keep the open green spaces within the park. Others liked the location of the park, which is on a neighborhood street. One of the primary concerns for the residents is the adult soccer league that uses the existing field for games. When the field is in use, the area streets become dangerous due to the numerous cars and few parking spots. Neighbors are also concerned with the rowdy crowds that gather for the games and the amount of trash and debris left behind. Neighbors also suggested adding amenities such as a paved walking trail, a water spray area, a play structure, a picnic shelter, ½ basketball court and additional tree plantings.

At the second, and final, public meeting, a draft master plan was presented for discussion. It incorporated many of the suggestions from the prior meeting. From the attendees' positive response, it appeared that the plan addressed their concerns. The approximately 18 people in attendance, again expressed concerns regarding the parking and traffic problems caused by the adult soccer league. This concern was address by both adding a parking area to the park and shrinking the soccer field to a youth size field. This will discourage adult league play while, at the same time, encourage youth and pick-up games in the park. DPR is committed to coordinating another place for the adult league to play its games.

A draft of an action plan or timeline was also presented and discussed. It was explained that the timeline is fluid and dependant on both DPR priorities and funding for its implementation. The plan was approved by all in attendance as a guideline for park improvements.



## Master Plan Recommendations

From the public meeting input, as well as staff input and experience, these recommendations were compiled. The following list, in alphabetical order, corresponds to the Master Plan on page 11.

### ½ BASKETBALL COURT

A half-court basketball court should be installed in the Northeast corner of the park, near the parking area. This will service players by locating it close to the parking lot and away from the playground users. A half-court was specified by neighbors because of its inherent appeal to youth. It has been DPR's experience that half courts discourage adult play in small neighborhood parks and allow children greater opportunity. There is a full basketball court located in Christian Park, less than a mile away.

### PARKING AREA

Both residents and staff agree that parking is a problem for the park. Currently, park users must park on the shoulder of LaSalle Street. This causes problems for both neighborhood residents and nearby industries. By including adequate space within the park, this problem will be greatly reduced. Eight parking spaces, along with one handicap parking space should be located along the gravel drive at the north end of the park. Because this gravel drive is owned by Titan Industries, DPR should pursue an agreement with Titan Industries to allow public use of this drive to access the proposed parking lot..

### PICNIC SHELTER

A picnic shelter would be a welcome amenity for the park. The shelter should be located between the playground and the spray pool, to provide easy monitoring of activity in the park. This location, in the center of the park, would also serve as a focal point for park activities. A picnic shelter would open the possibility for a Summer Day Camp and other programming activities within the park. The public consensus was for a hexagonal shelter, which would fit well into the park.

### PLAYGROUND

At the first public meeting, there was a call for a play structure that would add recreational opportunities to the park. The playground should be installed on the west side of the park, next to the picnic shelter. It should be located far enough away from the street to ensure safety for the users, while close enough to the shelter and spray area to allow monitoring by adults. In addition to the play structure, the area should also include stand-alone playground pieces such as swings and a whirl.

### PORT-O-LET

A port-o-let should be located near the parking lot. This location would serve the shelter, spray area and the playground and allow easy access for cleaning and maintenance.

#### SPRAY AREA



A spray area would be a welcome amenity to the park. Located near the picnic shelter and playground, this would be a low cost recreational facility for the neighborhood. A spray area is a simple facility that allows children and adults to cool off in a spray or mist of water for no cost. These facilities use fresh water and run only when users activate them, cycling electronically through numerous events.

#### WALKING TRAIL

This improvement was suggested at the first public meeting and would be a great addition to the park. A trail, whether gravel or paved, would serve many segments of the population. A trail loop of .25 miles could be constructed of gravel initially, allowing for the easy addition of asphalt as funding becomes available. This trail should be 8 feet wide to allow users to pass each other comfortably. This trail should also have a series of benches installed adjacent to the path for stopping and resting.

#### YOUTH SOCCER FIELD

Currently the park is being used by an adult soccer league, which is causing parking, litter and noise problems for the nearby residents. A youth sized soccer field has been delineated in the southeastern portion of the park and is in need of goals. This youth sized field would be less appealing to adult players, allowing these children to have a safe place to play. Statewide, there are currently 57,000 youth, ranging in age from 4-19, enrolled in soccer programs throughout the state of Indiana. In 2001 there were approximately 8,000 soccer participants in DPR activities/leagues/classes, and this number grows every year. It is the responsibility DPR to coordinate with displaced adult players to locate another field for their use.



# MASTER PLAN

CLAYTON & LASALLE PARK  
401 S. LASALLE ST.  
JULY 19, 2001







## Action Plan

The action plan is written to serve as a guideline for improvements within the park. It is not meant as a strict schedule, but should help guide DPR in developing its Capital Improvements Program.

For each element of this plan to be successful, it must first meet administrative approval within DPR. It must also prove feasible in terms of site constraints and availability of funds. For this reason, the proposed timeline and estimated cost of each Action Plan element is subject to change.

#	Action	Estimated Cost	1st-3rd Year	3rd-5th Year
1	Parking Area	\$ 24,000		
2	Picnic Shelter	\$ 20,000		
3	Playground	\$ 40,000		
4	Spray Area	\$ 115,000		
5	Tree Plantings	\$ 10,000		
6	Youth Soccer Goals	\$ 4,000		
7	Benches	\$ 5,000		
8	Half Basketball Court	\$ 12,000		
9	Walking Trail- Paved	\$ 28,000		
10	Walking Trail-Gravel	\$ 22,000		

## Conclusion

As the master planning process comes to a close, the community begins to look ahead to implementing specific park improvements spelled out in the plan. The Department of Parks and Recreation is committed to bringing these plans into reality, and fortunately there is local and national support available for our parks and open spaces. The opportunities listed in Appendix III are just a few examples of potential park resources. These are tools which may be used to advance the action plan toward completion. It is important to remember to coordinate all requests to the groups listed on the following pages with DPR Planning, Partnership & Grant staff members.

The processes undertaken to develop this master plan involved a variety of people with a variety of interests and concerns. Their involvement in the process has helped to insure that the recreational needs of the neighborhood are satisfied.

This master plan should be frequently reviewed and periodically updated, so that DPR can continue to be responsive to the needs of Clayton and LaSalle Park users.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**Clayton & LaSalle Park Master Plan, 1<sup>st</sup> Public Meeting**  
 October 5, 2000 7:00 PM  
 School #21 Gymnasium, 2815 English Avenue

The meeting was called to order at 7:05pm by Mr. Michael Krosschell, Senior Parks Planner. Mr. Krosschell introduced the DPR staff members in attendance. Other staff in attendance included Principal Planner Don Colvin, Senior Planner Tina Jones, Public Information Officer Angie Dye, Recreation Supervisor Leslie Clark, Christian Park Manager Gwen Marks-Stephenson and Christian Park Staff Jason Wehmhoefer. Also introduced was Rachel Cooper, president of SECO, Southeastern Community Organization.

Mr. Krosschell explained to those in attendance the Master Plan process and how community input would fit into it. He then set the context for Clayton & LaSalle Park by explaining the differences between Regional, Community and Neighborhood Parks. Mr. Krosschell reviewed the existing condition of Clayton & LaSalle Park. The park was described as nearly undeveloped. Features noted included football goalposts, at either end of a mown grass field. Also noted were several young shade trees, bollards on the west side of the park, and until recently vandalized, two soccer goals. Also noted were neighboring elements effecting the park, such as a gravel drive on the north side, businesses to the north, east and south, and LaSalle Street to the west. Mr. Krosschell then opened the discussion for input about what people like about the park, what is disliked about the park, and what neighbors would like to see improved about the park. The findings and comments were listed by Mr. Colvin on a large display board, and are summarized below:

Likes	Dislikes	Wishes
Green, open space	Lack of parking	Walking trail (paved)
Flat, open play fields	Trash after soccer games	Water spray area
The few trees located there	Broken glass	Swings
Location on neighborhood street	Not enough to do in park	Spring animals
	Rowdy soccer crowds	Play structure
	Dangerous for kids with traffic on LaSalle	Shelter (hex)
		1/2 Basketball court
		Benches (on trail)
		Bollards to keep vehicles out
		Open playfields
		More trees (15)
		Lots of activities

Other issues also discussed:

- There is a lack of parking for weekly soccer games, causing congestion, double parking and inconvenience to neighbors.
- Several soccer players were present and concerned about how the soccer goals and field were vandalized last week.
- These soccer players also expressed concern about where they would play if displaced from Clayton & LaSalle Park.
- Keep Indianapolis Beautiful spoke about a tree planting volunteer day to be held October 21. It was agreed that DPR would locate these 15 trees so as to allow flexibility for changes in the Master Plan following the next public meeting.

Mr. Krosschell then closed the meeting, thanking everyone for participating. 25 people were in attendance including representatives from Indy Parks, Keep Indianapolis Beautiful, SECO and CPAC.

2<sup>ND</sup> PUBLIC MEETING  
**May 22, 2001 7:00 PM**  
 School #21 Gymnasium, 2815 English Avenue

**Meeting Minutes**  
**Clayton & LaSalle Park Master Plan, 2nd Public Meeting**  
May 22, 2001 7:00 PM  
School #21 Gymnasium, 2815 English Avenue

The meeting was called to order at 7:05pm by Mr. Michael Krosschell, Senior Parks Planner. Mr. Krosschell introduced DPR staff members in attendance including Principal Planner Don Colvin and Planning Intern Katie Hurst. Also introduced was Cindy Porteus, Executive Director of the Indianapolis Parks Foundation.

Mr. Krosschell reviewed for those in attendance the Master Plan process and how community input fits into it. He reviewed the input received in the first meeting about the communities wishes for the park. A discussion of property ownership issues was discussed. Since the first meeting it has become clear that Titan Industries owns the thin strip of land bordering the park to the north. In order for parking spaces to be designed more efficiently, DPR has had discussions with the owner about an easement to use this driveway to access the park. Other design elements discussed included a picnic shelter, playground, walking trail, spray area, ½ basketball court, youth soccer field and benches. An additional suggestion offered was for a drinking fountain, which will be included in the final draft of the plan. There was agreement among those in attendance for the design of the park as presented.

A discussion followed about the proposed action plan for the design elements. Many in attendance agreed that the playground and shelter would be their highest priority, with basketball and the spray area being less important. Mr. Krosschell reminded those in attendance that this action plan is a suggestion of order of priorities and not a strict schedule. Some elements may happen sooner than suggested, while others may not be installed as soon as the action plan calls for. All construction projects hinge upon other budgetary commitments and volunteer or grant opportunities that might arise.

Other issues also discussed

- The traffic problems caused by adult league soccer play. DPR repeated its commitment to find an alternate place for this league to play before altering the conditions in this park.

From this point the Parks Planning staff will finish writing the Master Plan document, which should be available for the public by the End of 2001. Copies will be made available to those in attendance through the Southeast Community Organization. Mr. Krosschell then closed the meeting, thanking everyone for participating. 18 people were in attendance.

## Master Plan Funding Opportunities

### **Park Advisory Councils - “Friends of” Groups**

Park advisory councils represent one opportunity for participation by community members. These are volunteer citizens who come together to assist the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) with managing a park’s development. The Northwestway Park Advisory Council has assisted DPR in recent years with input about a disc golf course, a playground and trail improvements. Advisory Councils who wish to fund raise to advance park improvements can utilize the Park Foundation to administer these funds.

Some Advisory Councils have taken the step of registering as 501(c)(3), non-profit organizations, whose mission is to benefit the park. These “Friends Of” groups have been instrumental in raising awareness, funds and the standards for their parks. The Friends of Holliday Park has recently raised \$3.8 million to construct the Holliday Park Nature Center. The Friends of Eagle Creek Park are in the midst of an ambitious \$10 million program to improve Eagle Creek Park.

### **Granting Opportunities**

DPR in 2000 assigned a staff person as Grants Coordinator for the Department. These duties include identifying, researching, applying for and administering grants for capital improvements and programs in Indianapolis Parks. Recent grants have funded the Summer Lunch Program and improvements to Talbott & 29th Street Park. DPR is happy to assist community members who wish to apply for a grant to benefit a park. There are a great number of grants available to make parks better places to recreate. If you have a project you wish to seek a grant for, or know of a grant that could benefit a particular park, call 327-7050 and ask for information about grants.

### **Indianapolis Parks Foundation**

The Indianapolis Park Foundation is a charitable organization that was created in 1991 to increase recreational opportunities in Indianapolis through contributions from our community. The Parks Foundation has been a vocal advocate for DPR and has provided \$4 million in support for parks programs and projects. The Parks Foundation’s vision is that parks in Indianapolis will be quality spaces for all citizens to enjoy and that adequate financial resources will be available to support park projects, programs, maintenance and capital needs. To fulfill this vision, the Indianapolis Parks Foundation is currently initiating an aggressive fund raising campaign to strengthen its support of DPR. More information can be found at [www.indyparksfoundation.org](http://www.indyparksfoundation.org).

### **Keep Indianapolis Beautiful**

Keep Indianapolis Beautiful (KIB) is a local non-profit organization that works to secure private donations for the benefit of local organizations, such as DPR. Founded in 1976 and renamed in 1997, KIB has been especially effective in establishing volunteer tree plantings in many Indianapolis Parks. KIB’s organizational abilities have helped both private companies and neighborhood organizations to hold successful volunteer planting events, building a sense of ownership and unity within a community. More information can be found at [www.kibi.org](http://www.kibi.org)



## Master Plan Funding Opportunities

### **Build Indiana Funds**

The 1989 Lottery Act established the Build Indiana Fund (BIF). These funds are intended for capital projects such as those proposed in this park master plan. In a given year the fund has distributed as much as \$99 million. Requests can be made through local State Representatives for specific projects. BIF fund requests must have a governmental sponsor complete the BIF application and receive and distribute the funds. The Build Indiana Fund has recently funded the lighting of ball fields at Carson and Southwestway Parks, and the construction of a maintenance building at Garfield Park

### **Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)**

The LWCF was established by Congress in 1965 to promote quality outdoor recreation opportunities in the United States. In the 30 years since its creation, LWCF has been responsible for the acquisition of nearly seven million acres of parkland and open space and the development of more than 37,000 parks and recreation projects. This grant must be applied for by a governmental agency, such as DPR and it requires a 50% percent funding match. Specific grant award levels vary by year. For more information visit [www.ncrc.nps.gov/PROGRAMS/LWCF/index.html](http://www.ncrc.nps.gov/PROGRAMS/LWCF/index.html)

### **UPARR Program**

The Urban Park and Recreation Recovery (UPARR) program was established in November 1978 by to provide matching grants and technical assistance to economically distressed urban communities. The purpose of the program is to provide direct Federal assistance to urban localities for rehabilitation of critically needed recreation facilities. The law also encourages systematic local planning and commitment to continuing operation and maintenance of recreation programs, sites, and facilities. In 2002, DPR will be implementing a \$500,000 grant for improvements at Willard Park and will be seeking future opportunities for other grants. More information can be found at [www.nps.gov/pub\\_aff/uparr/grants/index.html](http://www.nps.gov/pub_aff/uparr/grants/index.html).

**General Resolution No. 78, 2001**


**Board of Parks and Recreation  
Consolidated City of Indianapolis, Indiana**


**Be It Resolved That The Board Hereby Approves:**


**the adoption of the 2001 Master Plan for Clayton and LaSalle Park, 401 South LaSalle Street.**

FURTHER, RESOLVED, that the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation is hereby authorized to implement such master plan for and in behalf of the Department.

BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

  
Assistant Corporation Counsel


  
Joseph Wynns


  
Sandra J. Young, Secretary

  
Diana Wilson Hall

12.13.01  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alan C. Wiseman

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William H. Stinson

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sheriee Shanklin


METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION  
OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA  
RESOLUTION NO. 02-CPS-R-008

RESOLUTION 02-CPS-R-008, amending the Comprehensive or Master Plan of Marion County, Indiana.

Be it resolved that, pursuant to I.C. 36-7-4, the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County, Indiana, hereby amends the Comprehensive or Master Plan for Marion County, Indiana, by the adoption of the Clayton & LaSalle Park Master Plan, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as an amendment to the Comprehensive or Master Plan of Marion County, Indiana.

Be it further resolved that the Secretary of the Metropolitan Development Commission is directed to certify copies of this Resolution 02-CPS-R-008, amending the Comprehensive or Master Plan of Marion County, Indiana.

Be it further resolved that the Director of the Department of Metropolitan Development is directed to mail or deliver certified copies of this Resolution 02-CPS-R-008, to the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis, the City-County Council of Indianapolis and Marion County, and the Board of Commissioners of Marion County, Indiana and to the legislative authorities of the incorporated cities and towns of Marion County, Indiana that are directly affected by this plan. The Director shall also file one (1) copy of the Resolution and one (1) summary of the plan in the office of the Recorder of Marion County.



Randolph Snyder, Presiding Officer  
Metropolitan Development Commission

Date: MAY 01 2002

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM  
AND ADEQUACY THIS 24<sup>th</sup>  
DAY OF APRIL, 2002.



Stephen Neff  
Assistant Corporation Counsel